



Sh.Sahil Parwanda,
H NO-5852/20, Gali No-11,
NawanKot, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Department,
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare Department,
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2375 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Sahil Parwanda for the Appellant
Ms.Gurpreet Kaur, Law Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 01.10.2020 has sought information regarding a copy of the first-time license for de-addiction centres issued and renewed – name and appointment letters of various persona under whom these centres are running along with the degrees of doctors and registration with MCI/PMC – letter/enquiry/prosecution against the centres -name of minister/his OSD at the time of license issued and renewed -the name of the drug inspector and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Health and Family Welfare Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was asked to vide letter dated 26.10.2020 deposit a fee of Rs.480/- which the appellant deposited on 06.11.2020 but the information was not provided, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last come up for hearing on 13.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that since the information sought by the appellant is voluminous, the appellant was asked to appear personally on 11.01.2021 to inspect the record and get the relevant information but the appellant did not appear. Further, since some enquiry was pending, the information was not sent to the appellant.

The Commission was not in agreement with the PIO's reply since the PIO had already raised the money for providing the information and the asked amount had been deposited by the appellant.

The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant for which the money has been raised (Rs.480 for 240 pages) and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 02.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar/Mohali. As per the appellant, the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that the enquiry is complete and assured to provide the information to the appellant within 15 days.

Earlier order stands, the PIO is directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and provide complete information to the appellant within 15 days of the receipt of the order and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, it is made clear that if the information is not supplied, the appellant is free to come to Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated: 02.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Tarlok Singh, S/o ShMahinder Singh,
R/o Ibban Kalan, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,(Rural)
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2361 of 2021

PRESENT: **None for the Appellant**
 None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 16.01.2021 has sought information regarding particulars of information given by Tarlok Singh to I/C PP Bohru PS Chatiwind Amritsar written in GD No.011 dated 29.12.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Rural, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 05.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 13.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent informed that the information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant wanted to go through the information and would file a reply in case of any discrepancy.

The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission.

Hearing dated 02.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. Both the parties are absent.

At the last hearing, it was informed by the respondent that the information has been provided. The appellant wanted to go through the information. The appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission. However, the appellant is absent nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant is satisfied with the provided information.

Since the information has been provided, no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 02.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Gagandeep Kumar S/o Sh. Joginder Pal,
VPO Kathu Nangal, Tehsil Majitha,
Distt. Amritsar.

... Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Kathu Nangal, Distt. Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP,
Amritsar.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2262 of 2021

PRESENT: **None for the Appellant**
 None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The case last came up for hearing on 13.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per the appellant, the information was not provided.

As per the respondent and a letter received from the PIO through email, the information on points 1 & 2 could not be provided as per decision No.236-IC(a)2006 of Central Information Commission since it has to be created. Further, regarding the information related to points 3 to 9, the information was exempt under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. being personal information, the reply of which has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 20.02.2021.

The appellant was not satisfied with the reply.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that the first appellate authority on 08.06.2020 had ordered the PIO to provide the information, which was not provided. The PIO was directed to provide the information on the following points:

- | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| - Point-1 | - | Provide the total number of complaints received |
| - Point-2 | - | Whatever action has been taken on the complaints to be provided |
| - Point-3 | - | To provide whatever information is available on record |
| - Point-4 | - | Provide the salary details. Personal property details not to be provided |
| - Point -5 | - | Not to be provided |
| - Point-6 | - | To provide |
| - Point-7,8 & 9 | - | Has not asked for any specific information. Not to be created. |

The PIO was directed to provide the information as soon as possible and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 02.02.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. Both the parties are absent.

Earlier order stands. No further interference of the commission is required.

The Case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 02.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner